National Judicial Academy

P-1260: National Workshop for High Court Justices $25^{th} - 26^{th}$ September, 2021

Programme Coordinator: Mr. Yogesh Pratap Singh & Ms. Nitika Jain, Faculty

No. of Participants : 29 **No. of forms received** : 03

	I. OVERALL							
	PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks			
a.	The objective of the Program was clear to me	100.00	-	-	-			
b.	The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	-	100.00	-	-			
c.	Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	100.00	-	-	-			
d.	I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	50.00	50.00	-	-			
e.	Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	100.00	-	-	-			
		II.	KNOWLEDGE					
	PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks			
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:								
a.	Useful to my work	50.00	50.00	-	-			
b.	Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	-	100.00	-	-			
c.	Up to date	100.00	-	-	-			
d.	Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	50.00	50.00	-	-			

e. Related to International Legal Norms	-	100.00	-	-				
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM								
PROPOSITION	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks				
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	100.00	-	-	-				
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.								
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	50.00	50.00	-	-				
(ii) Case studies were relevant	50.00	50.00						
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	-	100.00	-	-				
(iv) Simulation Exercises were valuable	-	100.00						
(v) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	100.00	-	-	-				
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING								
		Parameters						
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons					
Session	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory				
1	100.00	-	100.00	-				
2	50.00	50.00	100.00	-				
3	50.00	50.00	100.00	-				
4	100.00	-	100.00	-				
	V. PRO	GRAM MATERIALS						
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks				
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	50.00	50.00	-	-				
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	50.00	50.00	-	-				

c.	The content was organized and easy to follow	50.00	50.00	•	-					
	VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS									
1.	Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	 Role of media affecting the trial in the appropriate court of law; Improvement in orientation of advocates; Improvement in legal education system. The best part of the programme was the manner, in which the judges narrated their personal experiences and acted within the frame work of law to the best of use for the society at large. Very relevant from overall perspective. 								
2.	Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	 All were relevant and good as they addressed the current problems and probable solutions. Session 1: Judiciary and Media: Need for Balance - which related to judiciary and media- need for balance; Session 4: Inner Re-engineering for Attitudinal Changes & Bias - which related to inner strength of the judge. None. 								
3.	Does the programme need further modulations or change	facts/Rules/regulations.								
4.	Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective	2. I think there can be interactive sessions, which can be very effective. There shows be continued legal education for judges also as some of the subjects may be new a some insight can be there for many of us.								